

Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy in the Management of Cardiovascular Disease: A Cross-sectional Questionnaire-based Study amongst Indian Healthcare Professionals

PRAFULLA KERKAR¹, RAJEEV GARG², ABHISHEK SHUKLA³, VIVEKA KUMAR⁴, AKSHYAYA PRADHAN⁵, ARINDAM PANDE⁶, JOHAN CHRISTOPHER⁷, FEBIN FRANCIS⁸, NITIN ZALTE⁹, AMARNATH SUGUMARAN¹⁰



ABSTRACT

Introduction: Guideline-Directed Medical Therapies (GDMT) are evidence-based treatments recommended by clinical practice guidelines for the management of Cardiovascular Diseases (CVDs). While GDMT is foundational for treating Heart Failure (HF), its adoption promotes uniform, evidence-driven practices across various cardiovascular conditions. This therapy includes drug classes such as Beta-Blockers (BB), Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme inhibitors, Angiotensin-Receptor Blockers, and Angiotensin Receptor-Nepriylsin Inhibitors (ACEi/ARB/ARNI), Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists (MRAs), and Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors (SGLT2i). Implementing GDMT can enhance cardiac function, improve quality of life and reduce hospitalisation and mortality risks.

Aim: To understand the preferences of drug choice among Indian Healthcare Practitioners (HCPs) regarding the adaptation of GDMT in CVD management.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study was conducted in India from December 2022 to March 2023. Participants (n=93) included cardiologists, electrophysiologists and cardiophysicians, who were actively involved in managing CVD. A predefined questionnaire consisting of seven questions, developed from existing literature, guidelines and expert opinions, was used. Responses were digitally analysed, with descriptive statistics presented as numbers and percentages.

Results: For hypertension management in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS), telmisartan (60.22%) was the most preferred ARB, followed by metoprolol (48.39%; BB), amlodipine (39.78%; Calcium Channel Blockers [CCB]), and torsemide (32.26%; diuretics). For patients with diabetes and ACS, SGLT2i (89.29%) were favoured. Clopidogrel (56.99%) was the preferred oral antiplatelet drug alongside aspirin in ACS. In Acute Decompensated HF (ADHF), the preferred sequential addition of GDMT includes loop diuretics (44.74%) as the first choice, followed by ARB, SGLT2i, and MRAs as subsequent choices. One in four HF patients was on ARNI (37.36%) and SGLT2i (35.62%), while three in four HF patients were on BB (36.99%) and ACEi/ARBs (34.28%). In HF patients on loop diuretics and MRAs, the preferred doses were torsemide 10 mg with spironolactone 25 mg (57.32%) and torsemide 10 mg with spironolactone 50 mg (37.80%). In addition to symptomatic treatment with loop diuretics in HF patients, 72.50% of HCPs preferred ARNI, and 35.0% preferred ARBs as combination therapy.

Conclusion: ARBs and BBs were preferred for hypertension in ACS, while SGLT2i were favoured for diabetes. Clopidogrel was the most popular P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in ACS. For HF, HCPs favoured sequential therapy, with loop diuretics and ACEi/ARBs as the first and second choices and preferred combinations of ARNI or ARBs with loop diuretics for symptomatic HF.

Keywords: Acute coronary syndrome, Anticoagulant, Antiplatelet, Beta-blockers, Heart failure, Hypertension

INTRODUCTION

The CVD, including ischaemic heart disease, stroke, HF, peripheral arterial disease and various other cardiovascular conditions, stands as the foremost contributor to worldwide mortality [1]. In 2019, India ranked first globally in CVD mortality, accounting for 27.4% of total deaths [2]. The burden of ACS and HF on the Indian healthcare system is substantial, given their significant impact on both morbidity and mortality [3].

The GDMT includes evidence-based treatments recommended by clinical practice guidelines for managing CVD. Indian guidelines recommend the use of GDMT to reduce readmissions for patients with CVD [4], and it serves as the foundation for managing HF with Reduced Ejection Fraction (HFrEF) [5]. Ideally, GDMT entails combining various medications and gradually adjusting to evidence-based target doses [6]. This therapy comprises drug classes, namely, BB, ACEi, ARB, ARNI, MRAs [5], and SGLT2i [6]. The cumulative life-saving effect of these therapies has been proven to become noticeable within weeks [7]. The implementation of GDMT has been shown to enhance

cardiac function, improve quality of life and functional status, while also reducing the risks of hospitalisation and mortality [5].

Data from HF and ACS registries, as well as large cohort studies from India, have portrayed wide variations in GDMT usage rates and emphasised the limited utilisation of GDMT, especially ACEi/ARB and BB [3,8-13]. As HCPs increasingly recognise the importance of adhering to these guidelines, the adoption of GDMT has become instrumental in promoting a more uniform and evidence-driven practice across a broader spectrum of cardiovascular conditions, extending beyond HF. In managing CVD through GDMT, the choices made by HCPs regarding drug selection play a pivotal role in determining patient outcomes. Exploring the selection of drugs by HCPs can offer valuable insights for enhancing cardiovascular care strategies in the Indian context. Moreover, there is a lack of data on the use of GDMT in managing CVD within the Indian healthcare setting. Therefore, the present study aimed to understand the preferences of HCPs regarding drug choice towards the adaptation of GDMT in CVD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study was conducted between December 2022 and March 2023 across India. Since it was a survey, ethical approval was not considered; however, the study followed all ethical guidelines.

Inclusion criteria: The target participants were cardiologists, electrophysiologists and cardiophysicians actively involved in managing CVD. They were invited to participate in the survey. Both complete and incomplete survey responses from HCPs were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria: HCPs who do not manage CVD patients and those who were not willing to provide consent were excluded from the study.

Sample size: Sample size calculations was not performed for this survey. This survey aimed to understand the usage of GDMT in CVDs. A questionnaire was prepared and circulated among HCPs. A total of 110 HCPs were approached during meetings and responses were collected.

Study questionnaire: A predefined questionnaire consisting of seven questions was developed based on existing literature, guidelines [6,14,15], and expert opinions for this study. The questionnaire aimed to collect information on various aspects related to the management of CVD, such as the preference of drugs in the management of diabetes and hypertension, the preference of oral anticoagulants and antiplatelet drugs in ACS, the proportion of patients with HF on GDMT therapy, the sequence of adding drugs while implementing GDMT in patients with HF, the preferred dose of torsemide for combination with spironolactone, and the preferred disease-modifying drug to be considered for combination with a loop diuretic [Table/Fig-1]. The participants were asked to respond based on their professional experience and knowledge.

List of questions

1. What is your drug of choice for hypertension management in patients with coronary artery syndrome? (Please pick either dual OR triple combination; in case of others please specify)

a. Diuretic i. Torsemide ii. Hydrochlorothiazide iii. Chlorthalidone iv. Others	b. CCB i. Amlodipine ii. Cilnidipine iii. Nifedipine iv. Others	c. ARB/ACEi i. Telmisartan ii. Olmesartan iii. Ramipril iv. Others	d. BBs i. Metoprolol ii. Bisoprolol iii. Carvedilol iv. Nebivolol
---	---	--	---

2. What is your preference for oral drug for diabetes management in patients with coronary artery syndrome? (Please pick either dual OR triple combination; in case of others please specify)

a. Metformin i. 500 mg ii. 1000 mg iii. Do not prefer	b. SGLT2i i. Dapagliflozin ii. Empagliflozin iii. Canagliflozin	c. DPP4i i. Sitagliptin ii. Vildagliptin iii. Linagliptin	d. SU i. Glipizide ii. Glimepiride iii Others
--	--	--	--

3. What is your preference for oral anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs in ACS? (Please pick either dual OR triple combination; in case of others please specify)

a. Aspirin	b. NOACs i. Rivaroxaban ii. Apixaban iii. Dabigatran	c. P2Y12i i. Clopidogrel ii. Prasugrel iii. Ticagrelor	d. VKA i. Warfarin ii. Acenocoumarol iii. Others
------------	---	---	---

4. What is the sequence of drug addition while implementing GDMT in ADHF patient? (Please mention the Number against the class of drugs)

- ARNI _____
- ACEi/ARB _____
- SGLT2i _____
- MRA _____
- Loop diuretic _____
- BB _____
- Ivabradine _____

5. In your clinical practice, how many HF patients are on GDMT therapy?*

a. MRA i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All	b. SGLT2i i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All	c. ARNI i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All	d. ACEi/ARB i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All	e. BB i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All	f. Loop diuretic i. 1 in 4 ii. 2 in 4 iii. 3 in 4 iv. All
---	--	--	--	--	---

6. When prescribing Torsemide along with Spironolactone, which is most preferred dose of Torsemide with?

i) Spironolactone 25 mg			ii) Spironolactone 50 mg		
a) 5 mg	b) 10 mg	c) 20 mg	a) 5 mg	b) 10 mg	c) 20 mg

7. In addition to symptomatic treatment with loop diuretics, which disease modifying drug would you like to consider in combination?

- ARB: Yes/No – Telmisartan/Valsartan/Losartan/Olmesartan
- ARNI: Yes/No

[Table/Fig-1]: Study questionnaire.

*1 in 4= 25%; 2 in 4 = 50%; 3 in 4= 75%; ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ACS: Acute coronary syndrome; ADHF: Acute decompensated heart failure; BB: Beta-blocker; CCB: Calcium channel blocker; DPP4i: Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor; GDMT: Guideline-directed medical therapy; HF: Heart failure; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NOACs: Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants; P2Y12i, P2Y12 receptor inhibitor; SGLT2i: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor; SU: Sulfonylurea; VKA: Vitamin K antagonist

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The responses were digitally analysed, and a descriptive analysis was conducted to present the data in numbers and percentages.

RESULTS

A total of 93 HCPs responded to the questionnaire and were included in this study. ARB/ACEi in 91 (97.85%) and BBs in 85 (91.40%) were the most preferred drug classes for the management of hypertension in patients with ACS. Telmisartan was the major preference in 56 (60.22%) among ARBs for the management of hypertension in patients with ACS, followed by metoprolol in 45 (48.39%), amlodipine in 37 (39.78%), and torsemide in 30 (32.26%) among Beta-Blockers (BBs), Calcium Channel Blockers (CCBs), and diuretics, respectively [Table/Fig-2].

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%) (N=93)
Diuretics	76 (81.72)
Torsemide	30 (32.26)
Hydrochlorothiazide	24 (25.81)
Chlorthalidone	22 (23.66)
Others	0
CCB	66 (70.97)
Amlodipine	37 (39.78)
Cilnidipine	28 (30.11)
Nifedipine	1 (1.08)
Others	0
ARB/ACEi	91 (97.85)
Telmisartan	56 (60.22)
Olmesartan	8 (8.60)
Ramipril	27 (29.03)
Others	0
Beta-blockers (BB)	85 (91.40)
Metoprolol	45 (48.39)
Bisoprolol	25 (26.88)
Carvedilol	15 (16.13)
Nebivolol	0

[Table/Fig-2]: Drug preferences for the management of hypertension in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS).

Data presented as n (%); ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blockers; CCB: Calcium channel blockers; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners

SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) in 75 (89.29%) were the most preferred class of antidiabetics for patients with diabetes and ACS, followed by metformin in 68 (80.95%), dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i) in 64 (76.19%), and sulfonylureas in 43 (51.19%). For the management of diabetes in patients with ACS, the most preferred drug choice among SGLT2 inhibitors was dapagliflozin in 55 (65.48%), followed by metformin 500 mg in 43 (51.19%) (comparing metformin 500 mg and 1000 mg), sitagliptin in 34

(40.48%) among DPP4i, and glimepiride in 30 (35.71%) among sulfonylureas [Table/Fig-3].

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%) (N=84)
Metformin	68 (80.95)
500 mg	43 (51.19)
1000 mg	21 (25.00)
Do not prefer	4 (4.76)
SGLT2i	75 (89.29)
Dapagliflozin	55 (65.48)
Empagliflozin	19 (22.62)
Canagliflozin	1 (1.19)
DPP4i	64 (76.19)
Sitagliptin	34 (40.48)
Vildagliptin	20 (23.81)
Linagliptin	10 (11.90)
SU	43 (51.19)
Glipizide	11 (13.10)
Glimepiride	30 (35.71)
Others	2 (2.38)

[Table/Fig-3]: Drug preferences for the management of diabetes in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS).
Data presented as n (%); DPP4i: Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners; SGLT2i: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors; SU: Sulfonylurea

In patients with ACS, the most preferred P2Y12 inhibitor, alongside aspirin, was clopidogrel in 53 (56.99%). The preferred direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) combined with aspirin were rivaroxaban in 39 (41.94%), and the preferred vitamin K antagonist (VKA) with aspirin was warfarin in 15 (16.13%) among patients with ACS [Table/Fig-4].

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%) (N=93)
Aspirin	85 (91.39)
NOACs	74 (79.57)
Rivaroxaban	39 (41.94)
Apixaban	30 (32.26)
Dabigatran	5 (5.38)
P2Y12i	81 (87.10)
Clopidogrel	53 (56.99)
Prasugrel	3 (3.23)
Ticagrelor	25 (26.88)
VKA	26 (27.96)
Warfarin	15 (16.13)
Acenocoumarol	8 (8.60)
Others	3 (3.23)

[Table/Fig-4]: Preference of oral anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS).
Data presented as n (%); HCPs: Healthcare practitioners; NOACs: Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants; P2Y12i: Purinergic receptor P2Y₁₂; G-protein coupled, 12 protein inhibitors; VKA: Vitamin K antagonists

While implementing GDMT in patients with ADHF, HCPs preferred the sequential addition of GDMT drugs, including a loop diuretic in 28 (43.75%) as the first choice, followed by ACEi/ARB in 21 (37.50%) as the second choice, SGLT2i in 26 (36.11%) as the third choice, and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist (MRA) in 18 (27.69%) as the fourth choice [Table/Fig-5]. Regarding the role of BBs in GDMT, 14 (21.21%) HCPs ranked it as their first choice, 13 (19.70%) considered it their second choice, and 12 (18.18%) ranked it as their third and fourth choices, respectively [Table/Fig-5]. According to 34 (44.74%) and 26 (35.62%) HCPs, one out of four HF patients was on ARNI and SGLT2i, respectively. A total of 27 (36.99%) and 24 (34.28%) HCPs reported that three out of four HF

patients were on BBs and ACEi/ARBs, respectively. Additionally, 24 (34.78%) HCPs responded that half of their HF patients were on loop diuretics [Table/Fig-6].

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%)			
	First choice	Second choice	Third choice	Fourth choice
ARNi (n=73)	22 (30.14)	16 (21.92)	7 (9.59)	16 (21.92)
ACEi/ARB (n=56)	17 (30.36)	21 (37.50)	9 (16.07)	4 (7.14)
SGLT2i (n=72)	10 (13.89)	11 (15.28)	26 (36.11)	13 (18.06)
MRA (n=65)	4 (6.15)	6 (9.23)	15 (23.08)	18 (27.69)
Loop diuretic (n=64)	28 (43.75)	8 (12.50)	7 (10.94)	6 (9.38)
Beta blocker (n=66)	14 (21.21)	13 (19.70)	12 (18.18)	12 (18.18)
Ivabradine (n=45)	1 (2.22)	6 (13.33)	3 (6.67)	5 (11.11)

[Table/Fig-5]: Sequence of drug addition in Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy (GDMT) implementation for Acute Decompensated Heart Failure (ADHF).
Data presented as n (%) and has captured in this table include the most commonly selected first four choices; ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB: Angiotensin-receptor blockers; ARNI: Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; SGLT2i: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%)			
	1 in 4	2 in 4	3 in 4	All
MRA (N=73)	22 (30.14)	27 (36.99)	10 (13.70)	14 (19.17)
SGLT2i (N=73)	26 (35.62)	23 (31.50)	12 (16.44)	12 (16.44)
ARNI (N=76)	34 (44.74)	25 (32.89)	9 (11.84)	8 (10.53)
ACEi/ARB (N=70)	12 (17.14)	18 (25.71)	24 (34.28)	16 (22.85)
Beta-blocker (BB) (N=73)	7 (9.58)	15 (20.55)	27 (36.99)	24 (32.88)
Loop diuretic (N=69)	10 (14.49)	24 (34.78)	15 (21.74)	20 (28.99)

[Table/Fig-6]: Utilisation of Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy (GDMT) in patients with Heart Failure (HF).
Data presented as n (%); ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB: Angiotensin-receptor blockers; ARNI: Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; SGLT2i: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors

According to the majority of HCPs, torsemide 10 mg was the most preferred dosage when prescribed in combination with spironolactone 25 mg in 47 (57.32%) and spironolactone 50 mg in 31 (37.80%) [Table/Fig-7].

Combination	Response of HCPs (%) [N=82*]
Spironolactone 25 mg with torsemide dose	
5 mg	24 (29.27)
10 mg	47 (57.32)
20 mg	7 (8.54)
Spironolactone 50 mg Torsemide dose	
5 mg	5 (6.10)
10 mg	31 (37.80)
20 mg	13 (15.85)

[Table/Fig-7]: Preferred torsemide dosage for combination with spironolactone.
Data presented as n (%); *Response received from only 82 HCPs for this question; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners

In addition to symptomatic HF patients treated with loop diuretics, 58 (72.50%) physicians preferred ARNI, and 28 (35%) preferred telmisartan among ARBs for combination therapy with loop diuretics, followed by valsartan (16.25%), losartan (5.00%), and olmesartan (1.25%), according to 46 HCP responses [Table/Fig-8].

DISCUSSION

The present study represents the drug preferences of Indian HCPs regarding the adoption of GDMT in CVD. A clear preference among HCPs for well-established medications, such as ARBs/ACEi and BBs, was observed, along with a noticeable underutilisation of therapeutic alternatives, such as ARNIs and SGLT2is, in the Indian healthcare landscape.

Drug of choice	Response of HCPs (%) (N=80*)	
	Yes	No
ARB	n=46	
Telmisartan	28 (35.00)	-
Valsartan	13 (16.25)	-
Losartan	4 (5.00)	-
Olsmesartan	1 (1.25)	-
ARNI	58 (72.50)	1 (1.25)

[Table/Fig-8]: Preference of drug in combination with loop diuretics for treatment of Heart Failure (HF).

Data presented as n (%); *Response received from only 80 HCPs for this question; ARB: Angiotensin-receptor blockers; ARNI: Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors; HCPs: Healthcare practitioners

For hypertension control in patients with ACS, telmisartan emerged as the most commonly chosen ARB, while metoprolol was the preferred BB, amlodipine was the favoured CCB, and torsemide was the chosen diuretic. For the management of ADHF, HCPs indicated that the sequential addition of GDMT can begin with a loop diuretic as the initial choice, followed by an ARB as the second choice, SGLT2i as the third choice, and MRAs as the fourth choice.

The present study revealed that ARB/ACEi and BB were the most preferred drug classes by Indian HCPs for the management of hypertension in patients with ACS. This observation was in accordance with the recent guidelines on hypertension management [16-18]. An Australian registry demonstrated high (80-87%) usage of ACEi/ARB, which was associated with a substantial long-term survival advantage in patients who underwent Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) for ST-Elevated Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) or non-STEMI (NSTEMI), regardless of their initial left ventricular function [19]. The Kerala ACS registry reported a 62.7% usage of BBs and a 25.5% usage of ACEi/ARBs in patients with ACS after discharge [20]. In the ACS: Quality Improvement in Kerala (ACS QUIK) study, BBs were part of the optimal in-hospital and discharge medications [8]. In the CREATE registry, more than 50% of patients were on BBs, lipid-lowering drugs, and ACEi or ARBs during hospitalisations [9]. Overall, these findings suggest the suboptimal use of guideline-recommended therapy in the management of ACS and variation in the usage rates of ACEi/ARB and BBs across India.

Among ARBs, HCPs preferred telmisartan for the management of hypertension in patients with ACS. This finding aligns with real-world studies [21-23], which reveal that Indian physicians favour telmisartan over other ARBs for hypertension with co-morbidities. For BBs and CCBs, metoprolol and amlodipine were the primary choices, respectively. A nationwide survey indicated that S-metoprolol was the most preferred BB for patients with hypertension and sympathetic overdrive [24]. Similarly, an electronic medical records study reported that amlodipine provided better blood pressure reduction at lower doses and remained a favoured CCB despite newer alternatives [25].

In the present study, 65.48% of HCPs preferred dapagliflozin among SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) for the management of diabetes in patients with ACS. In patients with type 2 diabetes who had or were at risk for atherosclerotic CVD, treatment with dapagliflozin resulted in a lower rate of cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for heart failure [26]. Additional studies, such as the DAPA HF trial [27] and the DELIVER trial [28], reported the cardioprotective effects of dapagliflozin in patients with and without diabetes, as well as in patients with reduced or preserved ejection fraction, respectively. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2024 guidelines also recommend SGLT2i due to their efficacy in reducing the risk of composite Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events (MACE), cardiovascular death, all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, hypertensive heart failure, and renal outcomes in individuals with type 2 diabetes who have established or high-risk of CVD [29].

In this study, clopidogrel was the preferred antiplatelet drug among P2Y12 inhibitors when used alongside aspirin in ACS. This aligns with a cross-sectional survey of Indian cardiologists, which expressed a strong preference for dual antiplatelet therapy consisting of aspirin plus clopidogrel (100%). Both clopidogrel (47%) and ticagrelor (51%) were favoured among P2Y12 inhibitors [30]. The Tamil Nadu ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (TN-STEMI) program indicated that aspirin and clopidogrel were prescribed in more than 55% of the patient population [10]. Furthermore, the Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) trial also supported the benefits of combining aspirin and clopidogrel in reducing cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke, regardless of aspirin dosage [31]. According to the 2020 American College of Cardiology (ACC) expert consensus pathway for anticoagulant and antiplatelet therapy, clopidogrel is the recommended antiplatelet agent for most patients when combined with an anticoagulant [32].

Among oral anticoagulants, the majority of HCPs preferred rivaroxaban (a direct oral anticoagulant, or DOAC) with aspirin. Landmark trials reported that rivaroxaban reduced the risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke in patients with a recent ACS. However, it increased the risk of Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) major bleeding and intracranial haemorrhage, without a significant increase in fatal bleeding [33]. This led to the approval of rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twice daily) for non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients after the acute phase, alongside aspirin and clopidogrel, according to the 2016 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines [34].

The conventional approach to implementing GDMT involves initiating the four drug classes in the sequential order in which they were incorporated into the guidelines. This entails starting with ACEi, then proceeding to BBs, followed by MRA, changing from ACEi to ARNI, and finally initiating sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) [7].

In implementing GDMT for HF, HCPs preferred a sequence starting with loop diuretics, followed by ACEi or ARB or ARNI as second-line drugs, SGLT2i as third-line agents, and MRA as fourth-line agents in the current study. Similarly, the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) [35] guidelines suggest initiating treatment with intravenous loop diuretics for patients with ADHF and fluid overload. However, in cases of cardiogenic shock or symptomatic hypotension, temporary discontinuation of an ACEi/ARB or BB may be required. The ACEi/ARB and MRA can be temporarily discontinued in cases of renal dysfunction, particularly when oliguria and/or hyperkalaemia are present [36]. While BBs are contraindicated during ADHF due to their negative inotropic effects, initiating a low dose before discharge in euvolemic patients can improve outcomes [37], as supported by evidence showing benefits from careful initiation in stable conditions.

According to the majority of HCPs, BBs and ACEi/ARB were prescribed to 75% of patients with HF. Subsequently, 50% of patients with HF were on MRA and loop diuretics. However, only 25% of HF patients were prescribed ARNI and SGLT2i, suggesting potential underutilisation of GDMT in patients with HF.

The ESH 2023 guidelines strongly recommend SGLT2i and ARNI for hypertension in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) [16]. The 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guidelines [38] also strongly recommend SGLT2i and ARNI in the management of patients with HFrEF and provide a class 2A recommendation for HF with mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFmrEF) and HFpEF [16].

Similar to the present study, an analysis of real-world data reported that the use of ARNI and SGLT2i in patients with atrial fibrillation and HF is suboptimal. The authors noted that the low frequency of

ARNI and SGLT2i use underscores existing gaps in the utilisation of GDMT among patients with HF [39]. Evidence from Indian registries of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) also highlighted the suboptimal utilisation of other pillars of GDMT in HF, including BBs, ACEi/ARB, and MRAs [11-13,40].

Observations from a global registry (REPORT-HF) confirmed the low utilisation of GDMT (ACEi/ARB, MRA, and BBs), with approximately 34% of the patient population receiving GDMT. The authors also emphasised that the rates of GDMT underutilisation in developing countries were worse compared to high-income countries, at discharge (19% vs. 41%) and at six-month follow-up (15% vs. 37%) [41].

In the present study, torsemide 10 mg was the most preferred dosage when used along with both spironolactone 25 mg and 50 mg. The 2022 ACC/AHA and ESH 2023 guidelines indicate the use of oral loop diuretics, such as torsemide, to alleviate congestion, improve symptoms, prevent worsening of HF, enhance exercise capacity, and reduce the risk of HF hospitalisations (Class 1B) [16,38]. An expert opinion from India on the optimal use of torsemide in HF patients with or without renal impairment suggested considering torsemide therapy for patients at high-risk of hospitalisation for HF, for post-discharge ADHF patients who exhibit more severe symptoms, have a history of HF hospitalisation, or have renal impairment [42].

Spironolactone is a MRA indicated for the treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) in patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II-IV (Class 1A), and for resistant hypertension (Class 2B) at maximum daily dosages of 50 mg and 100 mg, respectively [38,43]. It has also been indicated for the management of resistant hypertension in the lower spectrum of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) (Class 2B) [16].

Currently, ARNI is recommended to enhance the effectiveness of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) blockers in HF. ARNI demonstrates superiority over ACEi or ARB alone, even when combined with diuretics. This superiority is attributed to neprilysin inhibition, which establishes a physiological "sequential nephron blockade," further strengthened by the concurrent administration of diuretics (loop diuretics or thiazides) or an MRA [44]. The post-hoc analysis of a landmark trial revealed that the use of sacubitril-valsartan significantly reduces the need for loop diuretics [45]. Additionally, the physiological nephron blockade by natriuretic peptides does not cause typical diuretic side effects, such as hyperuricemia or hyperkalemia [44]. HCPs preferred ARNI as an additional treatment in combination with a loop diuretic. However, there is a paucity of data regarding the use of ARNI in combination with a loop diuretic for the treatment of HF, implying that this combination is innovative and that further studies are required.

Limitation(s)

This study had certain limitations. First, the data were collected through a self-report questionnaire, which may be subject to recall bias or social desirability bias. Second, the study sample consisted of HCPs from specific specialties in India, which may limit the generalisability of the findings to other healthcare settings or countries. Finally, as this is a first-of-its-kind cross-sectional observational study from India, readers need to consider the nature and design of the study while interpreting the data for general use. Furthermore, a causal relationship cannot be established based on this study's findings.

CONCLUSION(S)

This study highlights the prescribing preferences of HCPs in the management of CVD. ARBs and BBs were the most preferred drug classes for hypertension in ACS. SGLT2i were the preferred antidiabetic agents, while clopidogrel emerged as the most popular P2Y12 inhibitor for patients with ACS. For HF, HCPs preferred sequential drug therapy, with loop diuretics and ACE inhibitors/ARBs

as the first and second choices. The majority of HCPs also preferred the combination of ARNI or ARBs with loop diuretics for patients with symptomatic HF. These findings offer valuable insights into clinical practices and medication preferences among HCPs, contributing to a better understanding of treatment trends in different patient populations. However, Indian HCPs are still adapting to GDMT to improve CVD outcomes.

Acknowledgement

Medical writing support was provided by Rupika Pawar and Akanksha Jadhav (Sgarona Medical Communications LLP, Pune); and paid for by Cipla Ltd.

REFERENCES

- [1] GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:1736-88.
- [2] GBD compare. [Internet]. [cited 2023 Dec 11]. Available from: <https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare/>.
- [3] Rehman H, Kalra A, Kochhar A, Uberoi AS, Bhatt DL, Samad Z, et al. Secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in India: Findings from registries and large cohorts. *Indian Heart J.* 2020;72:337-44.
- [4] Seth S, Ramakrishnan S, Parekh N, Karthikeyan G, Singh S, Sharma G. Heart failure guidelines for India: Update 2017. *J Pract Cardiovasc Sci.* 2017;3:133-38.
- [5] Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Drazner MH, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62:147-239.
- [6] McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumach A, Böhm M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42:3599-726.
- [7] Malgou J, Clephas PRD, Brunner-La Rocca HP, de Boer RA, Brugs JJ. Guideline-directed medical therapy for HFrEF: Sequencing strategies and barriers for life-saving drug therapy. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2023;28:1221-34.
- [8] Huffman MD, Mohanan PP, Devarajan R, Baldrige AS, Kondal D, Zhao L, et al. Effect of a quality improvement intervention on clinical outcomes in patients in India with acute myocardial infarction: The ACS QUIK randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2018;319:567-78.
- [9] Xavier D, Pais P, Devereaux PJ. Treatment and outcomes of acute coronary syndromes in India (CREATE): A prospective analysis of registry data. *Lancet.* 2008;371:1435-42.
- [10] Alexander T, Mulasari AS, Joseph G, Kannan K, Veerasekar G, Victor S, et al. A system of care for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in India: The Tamil Nadu-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction program. *JAMA Cardiol United States.* 2017;2:498-505.
- [11] Harikrishnan S, Sanjay G, Anees T, Viswanathan S, Vijayaraghavan G, Bahuleyan CG, et al. Clinical presentation, management, in hospital and 90-day outcomes of heart failure patients in Trivandrum, Kerala, India: The Trivandrum Heart Failure Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail England.* 2015;17:794-800.
- [12] Jadhav U, Nair T, Mohanan P, Chopra V, Kerkar P, Das Biswas A, et al. Impact of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in the treatment of heart failure: Targeting the heart failure cascade. *Cureus.* 2023;15:45241.
- [13] Kalra A, Pokharel Y, Glusenkamp N, Wei J, Kerkar PG, Oetgen WJ, et al. Gender disparities in cardiovascular care access and delivery in India: Insights from the American College of Cardiology's PINNACLE India Quality Improvement Program (PIQIP). *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;215:248-51.
- [14] Writing Committee Members; ACC/AHA Joint Committee Members. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guideline for the management of heart failure. *J Card Fail.* 2022;28(5):e1-e167.
- [15] Collet JP, Thiele H, Barbato E, Barthélémy O, Bauersachs J, Bhatt DL, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(14):1289-367.
- [16] Mancia G, Kreutz R, Brunström M, Burnier M, Grassi G, Januszewicz A, et al. 2023 ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension: Endorsed by the International Society of Hypertension (ISH) and the European Renal Association (ERA). *J Hypertens.* 2023;41:1874-2071.
- [17] Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, Khan NA, Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension.* 2020;75:1334-57.
- [18] Shah SN, Munjal YP, Kamath SA, Wander GS, Mehta N, Mukherjee S, et al. Indian guidelines on hypertension-IV (2019). *J Hum Hypertens.* 2020;34:745-58.
- [19] Prosser HC, Peck KY, Dinh D, Roberts L, Chandrasekar J, Brennan A, et al. Role of renin-angiotensin system antagonists on long-term mortality post-percutaneous coronary intervention in reduced and preserved ejection fraction. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2022;111:776-86.
- [20] Patel A, Vishwanathan S, Nair T. Sex differences in the presentation, diagnosis, and management of acute coronary syndromes: Findings from the Kerala-India ACS registry. *Glob Heart England.* 2015;10:273-80.

- [21] Ramakrishnan S, Shahu I, Arindam D, Rishi J. Management of hypertension: Insights into prescribing behavior with focus on angiotensin receptor blockers. *J Pract Cardiovasc Sci.* 2017;3:22.
- [22] Khan MY, Pandit S, Abdulkutty J, Navasundi G, Hazra PK, Phadke U, et al. Effectiveness of telmisartan on blood pressure control in hypertensive patients in India: A real-world retrospective study from electronic medical records. *Cardiol Ther.* 2021;10:255-69.
- [23] Chopda MB, Gadkar SG, Lakshmaiah YA, Lambata RK, Dabhade DC, Newale SR. Nationwide surveillance for Telmisartan alone or with combination at real world therapy in Indian patients with hypertension (START). *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2021;9:3091-94.
- [24] Ramesh D, Kumar PS, Palimkar P, Dhoot K, Dabhade DC, Newale S. Nationwide surveillance for S-Metoprolol treatment effect on blood pressure control against sympathetic overdrive in Indian patients with hypertension (PROTECT). *Int J Adv Med.* 2022;9:249-52.
- [25] Jadhav U, Mohanan PP, Almeida AF, Abraham G, Khan MY, Gaurav K, et al. Effectiveness and effect on renal parameters of amlodipine vs. other dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers in patients with essential hypertension: Retrospective observational study based on real-world evidence from electronic medical records. *Cardiol Ther.* 2021;10:465-80.
- [26] Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:347-57.
- [27] McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1995-2008.
- [28] Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B, de Boer RA, DeMets D, Hernandez AF, et al. Dapagliflozin in heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;387:1089-98.
- [29] American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Care in Diabetes. 2024;47:158-78.
- [30] Kulkarni N, Taur S, Kaur J, Akolekar R, Es S. A Cardiologists' survey on the use of anticoagulants and antiplatelets in patients with atrial fibrillation and acute coronary syndrome or those undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in India. *Cureus.* 2023;15:35220.
- [31] Peters RJ, Mehta SR, Fox KA, Zhao F, Lewis BS, Kopecky SL, et al. Effects of aspirin dose when used alone or in combination with clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes: Observations from the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) study. *Circulation.* 2003;108:1682-87.
- [32] Kumbhani DJ, Cannon CP, Beavers CJ, Bhatt DL, Cuker A, Gluckman TJ, et al. 2020 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Anticoagulant and Antiplatelet Therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation or venous thromboembolism undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention or with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77:629-58.
- [33] Mega JL, Braunwald E, Wiviott SD, Bassand JP, Bhatt DL, Bode C, et al. ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 Investigators. Rivaroxaban in patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:9-19.
- [34] Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:267-315.
- [35] Heart Failure Society of America; Lindenfeld J, Albert NM, Boehmer JP, Collins SP, Ezekowitz JA, et al. HFSA 2010 comprehensive heart failure practice guideline. *J Card Fail.* 2010;16:1-194.
- [36] Teerlink JR, Alburikan K, Metra M, Rodgers JE. Acute decompensated heart failure update. *Curr Cardiol Rev.* 2015;11:53-62.
- [37] Gattis WA, O'Connor CM. PredischARGE initiation of carvedilol in patients hospitalized for decompensated heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;93:74B-6B.
- [38] Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2022;145:876-94.
- [39] Alonso A, Morris AA, Naimi AI, Alam AB, Li L, Subramanya V, et al. Use of SGLT2i and ARNi in patients with atrial fibrillation and heart failure in 2021-2022: An analysis of real-world data. *medRxiv [Preprint]* 2023.
- [40] Nair T, Sinha N, Hiremath J, Hazra PK, Shah MK. Reappraising the role of eplerenone in the management of heart failure. *Indian J Clin Pract.* 2022;33:26-32.
- [41] Tromp J, Ouwerkerk W, Teng TK, Cleland JGF, Bamadhaj S, Angermann CE, et al. Global disparities in prescription of guideline-recommended drugs for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J.* 2022;43:2224-34.
- [42] Chopra VK, Mohanan PP, Kher V, Mantri RR, Isaacs R, Jadhav U, et al. The potential role of torsemide in optimizing loop diuretic therapy for heart failure patients. *Cureus.* 2023;15:41957.
- [43] Patibandla S, Heaton J, Kyaw H. Spironolactone. [Updated 2023 Jul 4]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554421/>.
- [44] Burnier M, Narkiewicz K, Kjeldsen SE. How to optimize the use of diuretics in patients with heart failure? *Kardiol Pol.* 2023;81:944-49.
- [45] Vardeny O, Claggett B, Kachadourian J, Desai AS, Packer M, Rouleau J, et al. Reduced loop diuretic use in patients taking sacubitril/valsartan compared with enalapril: The PARADIGM-HF trial. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2019;21:337-41.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Consultant Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, Asian Heart Institute, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
2. Senior Interventional Cardiologist and Head, Department of Cardiology, Aware Gleneagles Global Hospitals, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
3. Consultant Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, Pulse Heart Centre, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.
4. Consulting Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, Max Super Specialty Hospital, New Delhi, India.
5. Professor, Department of Cardiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.
6. Consultant Interventional Cardiologist, Medica Super Specialty Hospital, Kolkata, India.
7. Consultant Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, Care Hospital, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
8. Medical Affairs, Cipla Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
9. Medical Affairs, Cipla Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
10. Medical Affairs, Cipla Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Prafulla Kerkar,
Consultant Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, Asian Heart Institute,
Mumbai-400051, Maharashtra, India.
E-mail: prafullakerkar@hotmail.com; drprafullacardio@rediffmail.com

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: This study was funded by Cipla Ltd, India. Conflict of interest: Febin Francis, Nitin Zalte and Amarnath Sugumaran are employees of Cipla. Ltd. Mumbai. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? No
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? No
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. NA

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS:

[Jain H et al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Aug 08, 2024
- Manual Googling: Jan 15, 2025
- iThenticate Software: Feb 22, 2025 (15%)

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

EMENDATIONS: 8

Date of Submission: **Aug 06, 2024**
Date of Peer Review: **Oct 21, 2024**
Date of Acceptance: **Feb 24, 2025**
Date of Publishing: **Apr 01, 2025**